typeset using JPSJ.sty <ver.0.8>

arXiv:cond-mat/9610220v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 31 Oct 1996

Effect of Umklapp Scattering on the Conductance in Quantum Wires

Satoshi Fujimoto[1] and Norio Kawakami[2]

[1]*Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan*
[2]*Department of Applied Physics, and Department of Material and Life Science, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565, Japan*

(Received)

We investigate the system-size dependence as well as the temperature dependence of the conductance in 1D electron systems, paying particular attention to the effect of Umklapp scattering. By taking into account the renormalization of the external potential due to electron-electron interaction, the correction to the conductance, $2e^2/h$, due to Umklapp scattering is estimated perturbatively. The Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid parameter appears in the conductance via the system-size and temperature dependence.

KEYWORDS: conductance, Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid, quantum wire

The effect of electron-electron interaction on the conductance in one-dimensional (1D) electron systems has been studied extensively in connection with the transport phenomena in quantum wires. It was already established that the low-energy fixed point of 1D metals is classified as the Tomonaga-Luttinger (TL) liquid. The conductance in the TL liquid has been known as $2e^2K_\rho/h$,[1,2] where K_ρ is the TL liquid parameter which controls the asymptotic behavior of correlation functions. More recently, it has been found[3] that the experimentally accessible conductance may not be $2e^2K_\rho/h$ but $2e^2/h$, in contrast to the previous theoretical prediction. For explaining the discrepancy, several possible scenarios have been suggested. One proposal is that non-interacting leads attached to a quantum wire are essential for reproduction of the observed conductance $2e^2/h$.[4,5,6] Another proposal claims that if one correctly takes into account the renormalization of the external potential due to electron-electron interaction, the factor K_ρ in the conductance may disappear, resulting in a desirable expression consistent with the results of experiments.[7] Though either of these scenarios seems to explain the experimental findings, further extensive studies are needed to confirm their relevance to the experiments. In what follows, we will calculate the conductance based on the self-consistent treatment of the external potential.[7]

For more detailed analysis of the conductance in quantum wires, deviations from the above ideal behavior should be considered, because the effects of impurity scattering, Umklapp interaction, etc.

may become important for real systems of finite length at finite temperatures. Along these lines, Ogata and Fukuyama studied the effects of impurity scattering and long-range Coulomb interaction on the conductance.[8] More recently, Brandes and Kawabata discussed the effect of electron-phonon interaction.[9]

If the electron concentration becomes large, electrons may be affected by a lattice structure and then the Umklapp interaction is expected to change the behavior of the conductance. Also, if an artificial lattice structure could be fabricated for quantum wires, the Umklapp scattering should play a crucial role in such a case. It is thus interesting to investigate the effect of Umklapp scattering on the conductance, which is the main issue we address in this paper. We shall obtain the correction to the conductance perturbatively due to the Umklapp scattering for a quantum wire of finite length, by taking into account the renormalization of the external potential due to electron-electron interaction.[7] We also discuss the temperature dependence of the conductance.

Before evaluating the correction to the conductance explicitly, we first mention the renormalization of the external potential, which was recently addressed by Kawabata for the TL model.[7] He has shown that the desirable expression for the conductance, $2e^2/h$, naturally follows if this renormalization is taken into account. We briefly summarize his argument here. We consider an electron system with forward and Umklapp interactions, and start with the following Hamiltonian after linearizing the dispersion around the Fermi points,

$$\begin{aligned} H = {} & \mathrm{i}\hbar v_F \int \mathrm{d}x \sum_\sigma : \psi^\dagger_{\sigma L}(x)\partial_x\psi_{\sigma L}(x) - \psi^\dagger_{\sigma R}(x)\partial_x\psi_{\sigma R}(x) : \\ & + g\int \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{2\pi} : \rho(x)\rho(x) : \\ & + U\int \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{2\pi} : \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(4k_\mathrm{F}-2\pi)}\psi^\dagger_{\uparrow L}(x)\psi_{\uparrow R}(x)\psi^\dagger_{\downarrow L}(x)\psi_{\downarrow R}(x) + \mathrm{h.c.} :, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where :: represents the normal ordering, $\psi_{\sigma L(R)}$ is the operator for left(right)-moving electrons with spin σ, g (U) is the coupling for forward (Umklapp) scattering, and $\rho(x) = \rho_L(x) + \rho_R(x)$, $\rho_{L,(R)}(x) = \sum_\sigma \psi^\dagger_{\sigma L(R)}(x)\psi_{\sigma L(R)}(x)/\sqrt{2}$. According to Kawabata,[7] the renormalization of the external potential, $\Phi_0(q,\omega)$, occurs due to electron-electron interaction. In the present case, we have two kinds of interactions, both of which may be expected to contribute to the potential renormalization. However, as far as the static transport properties are concerned, the renormalization of the potential for large q is irrelevant, and hence the Umklapp interaction does not play a role in this renormalization. Thus we may consider only the renormalization due to the forward scattering,

$$\begin{aligned} -\Phi(q,\omega) &= -\Phi_0(q,\omega) + \frac{g}{2}\langle\rho(q,\omega)\rangle \\ &= -\Phi_0(q,\omega) + \frac{g}{2}\chi(q,\omega)\Phi_0(q,\omega). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Here, $\chi(q,\omega)$ is the charge susceptibility. Then,

$$\chi(q,\omega)\Phi_0(q,\omega) = \frac{\chi(q,\omega)}{1-\frac{g}{2}\chi(q,\omega)}\Phi(q,\omega)$$

$$\equiv \chi'(q,\omega)\Phi(q,\omega). \tag{3}$$

Note that the charge response due to the renormalized potential Φ is determined by $\chi'(q,\omega)$. Equation (3) implies that $\chi'(q,\omega)$ is represented by the diagrams irreducible with respect to the forward interaction g, which can not be separated into two parts by cutting any line for forward interaction. In the absence of the Umklapp interaction, $\chi'(q,\omega)$ is nothing but the charge susceptibility for non-interacting electron systems, and the conductance is reduced to

$$G = 2e^2/h, \tag{4}$$

as discussed by Kawabata.[7] We show below that including the Umklapp interaction U changes this expression even at the TL fixed point where the Umklapp interaction becomes irrelevant (see eq.(18)).

We now discuss deviations from the above ideal behavior due to the Umklapp scattering. Using abelian bosonization rules, let us consider the standard effective Hamiltonian for eq.(1) in terms of boson fields.[10,11] Since the spin sector is not relevant to the conductance, we write down here only the charge sector in the presence of the Umklapp scattering,[12]

$$H = H_0 + H_u, \tag{5}$$

$$H_0 = \int \mathrm{d}x \left[\frac{v_\rho}{2K_\rho} (\partial_x \phi_\rho(x))^2 + \frac{v_\rho K_\rho}{2} (\Pi_\rho(x))^2 \right] \tag{6}$$

$$H_u = \frac{U}{\alpha^2} \int \mathrm{d}x \cos(\sqrt{8\pi}\phi_\rho(x) + \delta x), \tag{7}$$

where α is the high-energy cut-off parameter. Here ϕ_ρ is a boson phase field for the charge degrees of freedom, Π_ρ is its canonical conjugate field, and $\delta \equiv 4k_\mathrm{F} - 2\pi$ with k_F being the Fermi point.

As mentioned above, the Umklapp scattering term, eq.(7), becomes irrelevant at the TL liquid fixed point. Thus for a sufficiently large system at low temperatures, the leading correction to the conductance due to the Umklapp term can be estimated using perturbative calculations. The conductance is given by

$$G = \lim_{\omega\to 0} \frac{e^2\omega^2}{L^2} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \mathrm{d}x \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \mathrm{d}x' \frac{1}{\omega} \times [\langle \phi_\rho(x,\omega)\phi_\rho(x',\omega)\rangle^R - \langle \phi_\rho(x,0)\phi_\rho(x',0)\rangle^R], \tag{8}$$

where $\langle \cdots \rangle^R$ is the retarded Green's function. In order to take into account the renormalization of external potential, we should calculate the irreducible diagram with respect to the forward scattering, g, which is related to $\chi'(q,\omega)$,

$$q^2 \langle \phi_\rho(q,\omega)\phi_\rho(-q,\omega)\rangle^R_{\mathrm{irr}} = \chi'(q,\omega), \tag{9}$$

for $q \sim 0$. $\chi'(q,\omega)$ is expressed in terms of $\chi(q,\omega)$ as eq.(3). We now separate $\chi(q,\omega)$ into two parts: $\chi(q,\omega) = \tilde{\chi}(q,\omega) + \delta\chi(q,\omega)$, where $\tilde{\chi}(q,\omega)$ includes only the effect of the forward scattering

and $\delta\chi(q,\omega)$ is the correction due to the Umklapp term. Then from eq.(3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi'(q,\omega) &= \frac{\tilde{\chi}(q,\omega)}{1-\frac{g}{2}\tilde{\chi}(q,\omega)} + \frac{\delta\chi(q,\omega)}{(1-\frac{g}{2}\tilde{\chi}(q,\omega))^2} \\ &= \chi_0(q,\omega) + \left(\frac{\chi_0(q,\omega)}{\tilde{\chi}(q,\omega)}\right)^2 \delta\chi(q,\omega), \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $\chi_0(q,\omega)$ is the charge susceptibility for non-interacting electron systems. To derive the second line, we have used the expression

$$\tilde{\chi}(q,\omega) = \frac{K_\rho v_\rho q^2}{(v_\rho q)^2 - \omega^2}, \tag{11}$$

with $K_\rho = 1/\sqrt{1+g/2v_{\rm F}}$ and $K_\rho v_\rho = v_{\rm F}$, which hold for the case without the Umklapp scattering. We now calculate $\delta\chi(q,\omega) = q^2\delta\langle\phi_\rho(q,\omega)\phi_\rho(-q,\omega)\rangle^R$ up to the second order in U. In order to evaluate this correction, we use the relation,

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle T\phi_\rho(x,\tau)\phi_\rho(x',0)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{8\pi}\phi_\rho(x_1,\tau_1)}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{8\pi}\phi_\rho(x_2,\tau_2)}\rangle_0 \\ &= -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\alpha\partial\beta}\langle T\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\alpha\phi_\rho(x,\tau)}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta\phi_\rho(x',0)}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{8\pi}\phi_\rho(x_1,\tau_1)}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{8\pi}\phi_\rho(x_2,\tau_2)}\rangle_0|_{\alpha=\beta=0}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\delta\langle T\phi_\rho(x,\tau)\phi_\rho(x',0)\rangle \\ &= \frac{U^2}{2}\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\mathrm{d}x_1\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\mathrm{d}x_2\int_{-\beta/2}^{\beta/2}\mathrm{d}\tau_1\int_{-\beta/2}^{\beta/2}\mathrm{d}\tau_2 \\ &\times\{\langle T\phi_\rho(x,\tau)\phi_\rho(x',0)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{8\pi}\phi_\rho(x_1,\tau_1)}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{8\pi}\phi_\rho(x_2,\tau_2)}\rangle_0\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\delta(x_1-x_2)} + \mathrm{h.c.}\} \\ &= \frac{U^2}{2}\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\mathrm{d}x_1\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\mathrm{d}x_2\int_{-\beta/2}^{\beta/2}\mathrm{d}\tau_1\int_{-\beta/2}^{\beta/2}\mathrm{d}\tau_2 \\ &\times\langle T\phi_\rho(x,\tau)\phi_\rho(x_1,\tau_1)\rangle_0\langle T\phi_\rho(x_2,\tau_2)\phi_\rho(x',0)\rangle_0 \\ &\times\{\langle T\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{8\pi}\phi_\rho(x_1,\tau_1)}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{8\pi}\phi_\rho(x_2,\tau_2)}\rangle_0\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\delta(x_1-x_2)} \\ &\quad +\mathrm{h.c.}\}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $\langle\cdots\rangle_0$ is the average for the gaussian action. Thus, from eqs.(8) $\sim$ (13), the correction to the conductance is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\delta G = \lim_{\omega\to 0}\frac{e^2\omega^2}{L^2}\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\mathrm{d}x\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\mathrm{d}x'\int\mathrm{d}q \\ \times\frac{1}{\omega}\left[\left(\frac{\chi_0(q,\omega)}{\tilde{\chi}(q,\omega)}\right)^2\delta\langle\phi_\rho(q,\omega)\phi_\rho(-q,\omega)\rangle^R \right. \\ \left. -\left(\frac{\chi_0(q,0)}{\tilde{\chi}(q,0)}\right)^2\delta\langle\phi_\rho(q,0)\phi_\rho(-q,0)\rangle^R\right]\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}q(x-x')}, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where

$$\delta\langle\phi_\rho(q,\omega)\phi_\rho(-q,\omega)\rangle^R$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{U^2}{2}\int \mathrm{d}x \int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\mathrm{d}x_1 \int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\mathrm{d}x_2 \\
&\times G^R(x,x_1,\omega)G^R(x_2,0,\omega)R^R(x_1,x_2,\omega)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}qx} \\
&\simeq \frac{U^2}{2}\int \mathrm{d}x G^R(x,\omega)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}qx}\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\mathrm{d}x' G^R(x',\omega)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}qx'} \\
&\times \int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\mathrm{d}x'' R^R(x'',\omega)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}qx''}, \qquad (15)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^R(x-x',\omega) &\equiv G^R(x,x',\omega) \\
&= \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t\langle[\phi_\rho(x,t),\phi_\rho(x',0)]\rangle_0 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega t}, \qquad (16) \\
R^R(x-x',\omega) &\equiv R^R(x,x',\omega) \\
&= \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t\{\langle[e^{i\sqrt{8\pi}\phi_\rho(x,t)},\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\sqrt{8\pi}\phi_\rho(x',0)}]\rangle_0 \\
&\times \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\delta(x-x')} + \mathrm{h.c.}\}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega t}. \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}
$$

The correction for an infinite system at zero temperature is easily obtained by using the renormalization equations for K_ρ and v_ρ. The result is

$$
G = \frac{2e^2}{h}(1-bU^2) \qquad (18)
$$

with $b = K_\rho(e^{(4-4K_\rho)l_c}-1)/(8-8K_\rho)$. Here l_c is determined by the condition that $|4k_\mathrm{F}-2\pi| \sim 1/\alpha e^{l_c}$ where α is a high-energy cutoff.[12] Thus, at the TL fixed point, the deviation from the ideal conductance due to the Umklapp scattering appears, even if one takes into account the renormalization of external potential. However, the prefactor of the conductance is not equal to K_ρ in contrast to a conventional formula for the conductance.[1, 2]

We now consider the correction for finite systems at finite temperatures. In order to perform the analytical calculations, we consider the two limiting cases, (i) $L \ll v_\rho\beta = v_\rho/T$, and (ii) $L \gg v_\rho\beta$. Case (i) enables us to study the system-size effect in the low-temperature limit, whereas case (ii) is suitable for discussions about the temperature dependence.

(i) *System-size dependence:* $L \ll v_\rho\beta = v_\rho/T$

In this case, by setting $\beta \to +\infty$, we can determine the system-size dependence of the conductance at absolute zero temperature. Then using the conformal transformation from an infinite complex plane to a strip of width L,

$$
w = \frac{L}{2\pi}\ln z, \qquad (19)
$$

we obtain the expression for the correlation function, $R^R(x-x',\omega)$ for the finite system of length L. Since it is still difficult to perform the integration in eq.(14) in general, we consider two interesting cases, *i.e.* the nearly half-filling case, $\delta L \ll 1$, and the low-density case, $\delta L \gg 1$, from which we can naturally deduce the properties for arbitrary fillings.

We begin with the case of nearly half-filling, $\delta L \ll 1$. In this case, the Umklapp scattering is expected to play a crucial role for a system of finite length. We indeed have the correction,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \mathrm{d}x R^R(x,\omega)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}qx} \\
&= c\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\mathrm{d}x\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t \\
&\times \left(\frac{\pi}{L}\right)^{4K_\rho} \frac{\cos(\delta x)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega t}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}qx}}{[\sinh\frac{\pi}{L}(\mathrm{i}v_\rho t-\mathrm{i}x)\sinh\frac{\pi}{L}(\mathrm{i}v_\rho t+\mathrm{i}x)]^{2K_\rho}} \\
&\sim \left(\frac{\pi}{L}\right)^{4K_\rho-2} c\sin(2\pi K_\rho)B(K_\rho-\frac{\mathrm{i}L(\omega+v_\rho q)}{4\pi v_\rho},1-2K_\rho) \\
&\quad\times B(K_\rho-\frac{\mathrm{i}L(\omega-v_\rho q)}{4\pi v_\rho},1-2K_\rho),
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where c is a non-universal constant, and $B(x,y)$ is the beta function. In the above expression we have omitted the constant term independent of L, which was already given in eq.(18). We thus obtain from eqs.(14), (15), (18) and (20), the conductance with the correction due to the Umklapp scattering,

$$\begin{aligned}
G = \frac{2e^2}{h}\Bigg(&1-bU^2-U^2c\pi\cos^2(\pi K_\rho)[B(K_\rho,1-2K_\rho)]^2 \\
&\times\left(\frac{\pi}{L}\right)^{4K_\rho-3}\frac{L}{2v_\rho}\Bigg).
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Although the TL liquid parameter K_ρ does not appear explicitly in the prefactor of the conductance at the fixed point because of the potential renormalization, it controls the exponent of the system-size dependence as $\sim L^{4-4K_\rho}$ near half-filling. Note that this correction is driven by the Umklapp scattering. The above expression implies that the perturbative calculation is justified under the condition, $1 \gg (U/v_\mathrm{F})^2(L/\alpha)^{4(1-K_\rho)}$. Otherwise, higher order corrections may not be negligible.

On the other hand, in the low density limit, $\delta L \gg 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G = \frac{2e^2}{h}\Bigg(&1-bU^2-U^2c\sin^2(2\pi K_\rho)\Gamma^2(1-2K_\rho) \\
&\times\left(\frac{\delta}{4}\right)^{K_\rho-2}\mathrm{e}^{-\delta L/2}\frac{L^2}{v_\rho}\Bigg).
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

from which one can see that K_ρ does not appear in the exponent of the system-size dependence, similarly to the case of free electrons. This may be naturally understood by recalling that the effect of Umklapp interaction becomes small in the low-density limit. For arbitrary fillings, the finite-size correction to the conductance becomes more conspicuous as the system approaches half filling. In any cases away from half-filling, the conductance at the TL-liquid fixed point is reduced to eq.(18), which has a small correction due to the Umklapp scattering.

(ii) *Temperature dependence:* $L \gg v_\rho \beta$

We now discuss how the Umklapp interaction affects the temperature dependence of the conductance. To this end, we compute the correction to the conductance setting $L \to +\infty$ and using the following conformal transformation,

$$w = \frac{v_\rho}{2\pi T} \ln z, \tag{23}$$

which transforms an infinite complex plane to a strip whose width is given by the inverse of temperature $1/T$. For the nearly half-filling case, $\delta v_\rho / T \ll 1$, we thus end up with

$$G = \frac{2e^2}{h} \Bigg(1 - bU^2 - U^2 c\pi \cos^2(\pi K_\rho) [B(K_\rho, 1-2K_\rho)]^2 \times \left(\frac{\pi T}{v_\rho} \right)^{4K_\rho - 2} \frac{L}{2T} \Bigg). \tag{24}$$

Therefore K_ρ enters the temperature dependence of the conductance. Higher-order corrections may be negligible provided that $1 \gg (U/v_{\rm F})^2 (v_\rho / T\alpha)^{3-4K_\rho}$. On the other hand, for the low-density case, $\delta v_\rho / T \gg 1$, we have

$$G = \frac{2e^2}{h} \Bigg(1 - bU^2 - U^2 c \sin^2(2\pi K_\rho) \Gamma^2 (1-2K_\rho) \times \left(\frac{\delta}{4} \right)^{K_\rho - 2} {\rm e}^{-\delta v_\rho / 2T} \frac{L}{T} \Bigg). \tag{25}$$

Here it is seen again that the TL parameter does not appear in the temperature dependence, as mentioned before for the system-size dependence. We note that the above temperature dependence is consistent with the results for the resistivity at finite temperature obtained by Giamarchi.[12)]

Through the present analysis of the conductance for quantum wires, it is seen that although the TL parameter K_ρ may not be observed as a prefactor of the conductance at the TL fixed point, it can show up in the system-size dependence as well as the temperature dependence when the Umklapp interaction induces the deviation from the TL liquid fixed point. This is also the case for systems with disorder and/or with impurities.[8)] If the system contains disorder, non-universal temperature dependence including K_ρ may be observed.[8, 13)] In real materials, the effects of disorder as well as the Umklapp interaction should cause deviations from the ideal TL conductance $2e^2/h$. For example, in the system close to half filling, we have obtained the temperature dependence (24) driven by Umklapp interaction. However, the exponent of the temperature dependence, $3 - 4K_\rho$, may be larger than that which comes from the impurity scattering.[13)] Thus in the temperature regime where this behavior is expected to be observed, disorder in the system may control the dominant temperature dependence.

In summary, we have investigated the effect of Umklapp interaction on the conductance in 1D interacting electrons without disorder. The correction to the conductance due to the Umklapp scattering is calculated perturbatively by taking into account the renormalization of external potential.

We believe that the present perturbative approach is valid because the forward scatterings are expected to predominant over the Umklapp scattering in conventional quantum wires. It has been shown that the deviation from TL liquid properties manifests itself in the system-size dependence or the temperature dependence of the conductance. Such a deviation from the ideal TL conductance becomes more conspicuous for systems close to half-filling. We expect that quantum wires with an artificial lattice structure could be fabricated in the near future, for which the Umklapp interaction should play a crucial role. Our present findings are expected to be useful in the analysis of transport phenomena in such quantum wire systems.

The authors are grateful to A. M. Tsvelik and T. Brandes for valuable discussions on the renormalization of the external potential. This work was partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

---

[1] W. Appel and T. M. Rice: Phys. Rev. B**26** (1982) 7063.

[2] C. L. Kane and M. P. A. Fisher: Phys. Rev. Lett. **68** (1992) 1220.

[3] S. Tarucha, T. Honda, and T. Saku: Solid State Commun. **94** (1995) 413.

[4] D. L. Maslov and M. Stone: Phys. Rev. B**52** (1995) 5539.

[5] V. V. Ponomarenko: Phys. Rev. B**52** (1995) 8666.

[6] H. Safi and H. J. Schulz: Phys. Rev. B**52** (1995) 17040.

[7] A. Kawabata: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **65** (1996) 30.

[8] M. Ogata and H. Fukuyama: Phys. Rev. Lett. **73** (1994) 468.

[9] T. Brandes and A. Kawabata: preprint.

[10] F. D. M. Haldane: J. Phys. C **14**, 2585 (1981).

[11] R. Shankar: Int. J. Mod. Phys. B**4** (1990) 2371.

[12] T. Giamarchi: Phys. Rev. B**44** (1991) 2905.

[13] D. L. Maslov: Phys. Rev. B**52** (1995) 14368.